

1  
2 **So Ordered.**



3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
*Patricia C. Williams*  
Patricia C. Williams  
Bankruptcy Judge

**Dated: September 5th, 2013**

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

In re:

LLS AMERICA, LLC,

Debtor(s).

BRUCE P. KRIEGMAN, solely in his  
capacity as court-appointed Chapter 11  
Trustee for LLS America, LLC,

Plaintiff(s),

vs.

MONIQUE OLSEN,

Defendant(s).

DC Case No. 12-cv-00646-RMP

Case No. 09-06194-PCW11

Adversary No. 11-80159-PCW11

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION  
RE: FINAL JUDGMENT

Attached is the final judgment proposed to be entered by the plaintiff. The final judgment is based upon the court's Order Granting Motion for Summary Judgment (ECF No. 72), which was entered August 20, 2013 due to defendant's failure appear at the status conference regarding that motion or otherwise respond to said motion. It is recommended that the District Court set a hearing to allow the defendant to

1 articulate whatever defenses she may have which would prevent entry of the final  
2 judgment.

3 **Facts Relevant to the Entry of the Final Judgment**

4 Defendant Monique Olsen is the only named defendant in this adversary, which  
5 was commenced July 16, 2011. The pleadings indicate that she was served with the  
6 Summons and Complaint by international registered mail, with return receipt  
7 requested, at the address of 6076 Golf Road, Agassiz, BC V0M 1A3, Canada, on  
8 July 29, 2011 (Certificate of Mailing of Summons and Complaint, ECF No. 4). A  
9 return mail receipt for that address is contained in the file with an unidentifiable  
10 signature (ECF No. 5). The defendant filed an Answer (ECF No. 6) containing the  
11 same Agassi, BC Canada, address reflected in the Certificate of Mailing of Summons  
12 and Complaint and return mail receipt (ECF Nos. 4 and 5, respectively).  
13 Substantively, the Answer is a one sentence general denial.

14 This adversary proceeding docket contains a Notice of Scheduling Conference  
15 and Certificate of Service of the same (ECF No. 7) to defendant on June 13, 2012,  
16 which also contained a notice of a telephonic scheduling conference hearing for  
17 July 16, 2013. The Certificate of Service states that the Notice of Scheduling  
18 Conference was served on the defendant at the same address referenced above. The  
19 defendant appeared telephonically at the scheduling conference on July 16, 2012. It  
20 was “strongly recommended” that defendant obtain counsel. Defendant had not

1 responded to the plaintiff's FRCP 26(f) Conference Report and Discovery Plan (ECF  
2 No. 8) and was directed to do so before the next hearing. A discussion occurred  
3 regarding the withdrawal of reference and defendant was also advised to address that  
4 issue prior to the continued scheduling conference. Defendant was informed that the  
5 scheduling conference would be continued telephonically to August 27, 2012.  
6 Defendant did not file any pleadings concerning the matters discussed nor appear at  
7 the continued hearing.

8 On October 31, 2012, plaintiff filed a motion to consolidate (ECF No. 16) this  
9 adversary, with adversary proceeding No. 11-80299 to determine the "common  
10 issues" and attached thereto a Certificate of Service, which does not refer to this  
11 defendant. An order was entered granting plaintiff's motion for consolidation on  
12 November 30, 2012 (ECF No. 26).

13 The plaintiff filed a motion to withdraw reference (ECF No. 19) on  
14 November 19, 2012, utilizing the "notice and hearing" procedure and allowing the  
15 defendant 24 days to object to the motion. The Certificate of Service attached thereto  
16 reflects that the motion was served on the defendant at same address referenced above.  
17 No objection by the defendant was filed and the District Court entered an Order  
18 Granting Motion to Withdraw Reference and Setting Trial Date on January 16, 2013  
19 (ECF No. 2, District Court case No. 12-cv-00646-RMP).

1 The court entered on February 15, 2013, an Amended Case Schedule Order  
2 relating to “non-common issues” (ECF No. 32), and the Bankruptcy Noticing Center’s  
3 Certificate of Notice (ECF No. 33) states that the Amended Case Schedule Order was  
4 served on the defendant at the same address referenced above. The court also entered  
5 a Notice of Proposed Trial Setting Procedures (ECF No. 35) on March 20, 2013, and  
6 the Bankruptcy Noticing Center’s Certificate of Notice (ECF No. 36) states that notice  
7 was served on the defendant at the same address referenced above on March 22, 2013.

8 On April 10, 2013, plaintiff filed a motion to compel answers to discovery (ECF  
9 No. 39), and on April 15, 2013, filed a notice of hearing regarding that motion (ECF  
10 No. 41). The Certificates of Service attached to these pleadings state both were served  
11 on the defendant at the same address referenced above. Plaintiff’s supporting  
12 declaration (ECF No. 40) stated that the defendant had not responded to discovery  
13 served on her on December 20, 2012, nor had she responded to the plaintiff’s letter of  
14 March 7, 2013, stating that if no response was received by April 1, 2013 that the  
15 plaintiff would file a motion to compel. Defendant did not file a response nor appear  
16 at the hearing on plaintiff’s motion to compel held on May 9, 2013. The order granting  
17 plaintiff’s motion to compel was entered on May 10, 2013 (ECF No. 46), but no proof  
18 of service on the defendant appears on the docket.

19 On June 19, 2013, plaintiff filed a disclosure of experts on non-common issues  
20 (ECF No. 48) and filed a Certificate of Service (ECF No. 49) stating that the plaintiff

1 served the disclosure of experts on the defendant at the same address referenced  
2 above.

3 The plaintiff filed a Motion for Sanctions on June 26, 2013 (ECF No. 50)  
4 against the defendant for her failure to respond to discovery and failure to comply with  
5 the court's Order Re: Motion to Compel Discovery (ECF No. 46). The Certificate of  
6 Service (ECF No. 53) filed the same date states that the motion and supporting  
7 declaration were served on defendant at same address referenced above. The  
8 supporting declaration stated that no response to the plaintiff's FRCP 26 Report had  
9 occurred and defendant still had not provided discovery responses. The motion was  
10 filed upon "notice and hearing" with any response due July 5, 2013, and a hearing date  
11 scheduled on July 8, 2013 (ECF No. 52). That notice was served on the defendant at  
12 the same address referenced above. Defendant did not file any response to the motion  
13 nor appear at the hearing on July 8, 2013. An order granting the motion for sanctions  
14 was entered on July 10, 2013 (ECF No. 57) and the Bankruptcy Noticing Center's  
15 Certificate of Notice (ECF No. 59) states the order was served on the defendant at the  
16 same address referenced above.

17 In the plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment filed July 25, 2013 (ECF No.  
18 60), the plaintiff sought to prevent the defendant from introducing any evidence at  
19 trial regarding the defendant's "good faith" or disputing the plaintiff's accounting. The  
20 Certificate of Service (ECF No. 65) states that the motion was served on the defendant

1 at same address referenced above. A notice of a status conference (ECF No. 66)  
2 scheduled for August 9, 2013 on the plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment was  
3 served on July 26, 2013 at the same address referenced above (ECF No. 67).  
4 Defendant did not appear at the telephone hearing on August 9, 2013.

5 On August 19, 2013, an order granting plaintiff's Motion for Summary  
6 Judgment (ECF No. 72) was entered due to defendant's failure to appear at the hearing  
7 or respond to the plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment. Based on that order, and  
8 utilizing the notice and hearing procedure, the plaintiff served on the same address  
9 referenced above a Notice of Presentment (ECF No. 73) of the final judgment on  
10 August 21, 2013 (ECF No. 74). The notice stated that the judgment would be  
11 presented to the bankruptcy court ex-parte for its recommendation for entry of the  
12 judgment by the District Court unless a response was received from the defendant no  
13 later than September 4, 2013. The Bankruptcy Noticing Center's Certificate of Notice  
14 (ECF No. 75) was filed on August 21, 2013 reflecting service of same pleadings on  
15 defendant at the same address referenced above.

16 The defendant responded to the notice of presentment of final judgment on  
17 August 30, 2013 by filing another pro se answer (ECF No. 76). That second answer  
18 contains the same address referenced above for the defendant and is four pages of  
19 denials. Defendant also filed a declaration (ECF No. 77), which is similar to the  
20 standard declaration filed regarding jurisdiction by numerous defendants in numerous

1 adversaries, but contains an additional statement denying that the defendant was  
2 served with the Summons and Complaint.

3 **Recommendation**

4 The final judgment should be entered unless, at a hearing scheduled by the  
5 District Court, the defendant is able to articulate any viable defense to the entry of the  
6 final judgment.

7 ///END OF REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION///  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20

Shelley N. Ripley, WSBA No. 28901  
Daniel J. Gibbons, WSBA No. 33036  
WITHERSPOON • KELLEY  
422 W. Riverside, Suite 1100  
Spokane, WA 99201  
Telephone: (509) 624-5265; Facsimile: (509) 458-2717

Attorneys to Bruce P. Kriegman, Chapter 11 Trustee

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON**

In Re:

LLS AMERICA, LLC,

Debtor.

BRUCE P. KRIEGMAN, solely in his  
capacity as court-appointed Chapter 11  
Trustee for LLS America LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

MONIQUE OLSEN,

Defendant.

2: 12-cv-12-00646-RMP

Bankruptcy No. 09-06194-PCW11

Adversary No. 11-80159-PCW11

JUDGMENT

**JUDGMENT SUMMARY**

1. Judgment Creditor: Plaintiff Bruce P. Kriegman
2. Attorney(s) for Judgment Creditors: Daniel J. Gibbons,  
Witherspoon Kelley

JUDGMENT - 1

S0756742



**WITHERSPOON • KELLEY**

Attorneys & Counselors

422 W. Riverside Avenue, Suite 1100 Phone: 509.624.5265  
Spokane, Washington 99201-0300 Fax: 509.458.2728



- 1           3.     Judgment Debtor:                     Monique Olsen  
2  
3           4.     Attorney for Judgment Debtor(s):     None  
4           5.     Amount of Judgment:                 \$340,278.42 CAD  
5           6.     Amount of Interest Owed to  
6                 Date of Judgment:                     \$0.00  
7           7.     Interest Rate:                         0.11% per annum  
8

9                                     **JUDGMENT**

10           Based on the Order Granting Motion for Summary Judgment, judgment in  
11 this matter is entered as follows:  
12

- 13           1.     Plaintiff Bruce P. Kriegman is awarded judgment against Defendant  
14 Monique Olsen in the amount of \$340,278.42 CAD, plus post-judgment interest  
15 at the rate of 0.11 percent (0.11%) per annum until paid in full.  
16

17           Entered this \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2013.  
18  
19

20                                     \_\_\_\_\_  
21                                     HONORABLE ROSANNA PETERSON

22           Presented by:

23           WITHERSPOON • KELLEY

24           /s/ Daniel J. Gibbons  
25           Daniel J. Gibbons, WSBA No. 33036  
26           Attorneys for Plaintiff  
27  
28

JUDGMENT - 2

S0756742



WITHERSPOON • KELLEY

Attorneys & Counselors

422 W. Riverside Avenue, Suite 1100     Phone: 509.624.5265  
Spokane, Washington 99201-0300     Fax: 509.458.2728